

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,468	10/23/2001	Fatemeh Mojtabai	FMI-001	4328
959 7	590 12/29/2005		EXAMI	NER
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1631	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,468	MOJTABAI, FATEMEH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-6,8,55-58 and 63-68 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 55-58 and 66 is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-6,8,63-65,67 and 68 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/003,468 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 55-58 and 66 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/3/05. In the response filed 1/3/05, applicant elected species of proteoliposomes as the material used to apply the proteins at the interface. The species of liposomes and cellular membrane were nonelected species. Amended claim 55 newly recites applying a protein to an interface in a membrane preparation, and new claim 66 recites contacting a membrane preparation with an interface. Thus, claims 55-58 and 66 are directed to nonelected species, and are withdrawn.

An action on the merits of claims 1, 4-6, 8, 63-65, and 67-68, as they read on the elected species of membrane protein, proteoliposome, and gas-aqueous interface, follows.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 11/17/05 has been considered in full.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1, 4-6, 65 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a NEW MATTER rejection.

Claim 1, as amended, limits a 2D ordered array to have a diameter "greater than  $25~\mu\text{m}$ " and claim 65 limits the diameter to be "greater than  $200~\mu\text{m}$ ", both of which are new matter. The original claims did not recite any diameter limitations. Ordered structures In the response filed 9/30/05, applicant points to pages 55 and 14 for support for the recited ranges. However, page 55, at lines 30-31, teaches that ordered structures were 25-50  $~\mu\text{m}$  in diameter. Page 14, lines 35-36 of the originally filed specification discloses ordered structures of  $200\text{-}500~\mu\text{m}$ , but does not disclose that this is a diameter. As the structures, especially 2D structures maybe linear, this measurement may, in fact, be a linear measurement. Nowhere does the originally filed specification specifically disclose an ordered structure with a diameter greater than 50  $~\mu\text{m}$  or ANY structure with a measurement in any dimension between 50 and  $200~\mu\text{m}$  or greater than  $500~\mu\text{m}$ . Thus, the originally filed disclosure fails to provide support for the newly added limitations, and the claims are rejected for reciting new matter. Claims 4-6, 8 and 65 depend from claim 1 and are therefore also rejected for reciting new matter.

Art Unit: 1631

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 64, and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RIBI (US 4859538).

RIBI teaches a method of constructing 2 and 3 dimensional ordered (crystalline) arrays of proteins by contacting n air-water interface with a lipid composition comprising a protein, and allowing the mixture to incubate under spreading (i.e. lateral) pressure until the crystals (array) form (see examples at col. 12, col. 11, line 55-col. 12, line 8, and col. 12, line 50-col. 13, line 22). RIBI teaches that structures made by his method may be 1-25  $\mu$ m in diameter (col. 3, lines 25-40), thus claims 1, 3, 5, 8, and 67 are anticipated. RIBI teaches that a variety of proteins, including membrane proteins may be crystallized in his method (col. 4, lines 51-62 and col. 8, lines 42-50), thus claim 4 is anticipated. RIBI specifically teaches application of lateral pressure (col. 7, lines 31-37) and teaches that surfactants and polymers are not necessary to get ordered protein arrays, therefore the pressure applied is inherently above whatever critical density point is required for formation of a 2D or 3D ordered array, and claims 64 and 68 are anticipated.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RIBI (US 4859538), as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 8, 64, and 67-68 above, and further in view of OHLSSON et al. (Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics (1995) vol. 38, pp. 137-148).

RIBI teaches a method of obtaining 2D and 3D ordered/crystalline structures of proteins wherein proteins and lipids are exposed to pressure at an air/liquid interface, as set forth above. RIBI specifically teaches crystallization of cholera toxin subunit B (col. 12, line 50-col. 13, line 22). RIBI does not specifically teach use of proteoliposomes in his method.

OHLSSON teaches that cholera toxin may be bound to proteoliposomes on a surface, and will retain its activity when so bound (abstract).

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used the proteoliposomes of OHLSSON in the lipid layer in the method of RIBO where the motivation would have been to crystallize the protein in the method of RIBI in an active conformation, as suggested by the teaching of OHLSSON that proteoliposomes aid in retaining biological activity of at least one membrane protein (abstract).

Art Unit: 1631

### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0720. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon,Wed: 7-1:30; Tue,Thur: 7:30-6; Fri 7-3:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,468 Page 7

Art Unit: 1631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner

Hayous a. Horac